

■ BACK PAGE

Munich's Youth Information Centre operates successfully for three years

Two hundred thousand young people between the ages of fourteen and 26 live in Munich and make up approximately 14.6 per cent of the total population. The generation gap often leaves these young people totally at a loss. In order to help them Munich has set up a Youth Information Centre. This has been going now for three years and has proved successful. Young people enjoy visiting the Centre and often do so. Munich's Youth Information Centre is now seen as an example by many other cities in this country.

Munich's Youth Information Centre at number 22 Paul Heyse Straße began its work at the end of 1967 and can look back on three successful years. Last year it had no less than 37,823 visitors of which 15,836 were seeking specific information.

The others came to take advantage of the facilities offered. They read newspapers, watched television, held discussions, listened to radio or records, read prospectuses, drank coffee or did their schoolwork.

The Youth Information Centre phone operators have been kept busy, too, with 29,721 calls throughout 1969.

Karl Baumann, 35, a former mechanic, youth officer in the Confederation of Federal Republic Trades Unions and at present an alderman responsible for youth matters is the head of the YIC. From behind his bushy beard he

exudes friendliness and a desire to be helpful. His chief co-worker, Annemarie Preissner is only nineteen years young. Experience has shown that she is a valuable member of the team since she inspires greater confidence in people of her own age.

Sponsors of the YIC are the Munich Youth and Culture Organisation, the district youth group and the Municipal Youth Office.

Mr Baumann said: "The information Centre is meant as a kind of shop-window for young people so that they always know what facilities they have for spending their leisure and pleasure hours profitably."

In Munich there are at present 32 leisure centres for young people, where they can rendezvous. They have at their disposal halls, stages with modern equipment, discotheques, television and music rooms, photo labs and indoor games and sports centres.

The Centre is intended to give young people encouragement to indulge in hobbies and to give them direction. If they want to make contact they can do so at the YIC or at least find out from there where they can find the sort of company they are seeking.

The slogan of the YIC is: For every question an answer. And all the information passed on to young people by the centre is free of charge.

Apart from these relatively simple tasks the Youth Information Centre has a number of far more difficult problems of



the young with which they are asked to help. In 1969 more than 5,000 young people came asking for advice of a highly personal nature.

If an indictable offence is involved the YIC asks for the assistance of the Youth Office or in some cases provides free legal aid, as long as the young people involved are in agreement with this.

In other cases they are told the punishment they may expect for what they have done if caught. In all cases anonymity is strictly kept. It is only in very rare cases that Karl Baumann has to turn the case over to the state authorities.

Time and again young girls come to the YIC for help, wanting the Pill. The girls are advised to go to the "Pro Familia" organisation which is run by doctors and psychologists.

In these tricky cases the YIC nearly always tells young people where they can go for the best expert advice rather than giving what is of necessity no more than a vague rule of thumb. This advice is always given in the strictest secrecy and discretion.

Many young people who have run away from home have resumed contact with their parents again via the Youth Information Centre. The reconciliation programme usually begins with an exchange of letters or a telephone call, which is

then followed up by a meeting with the parents and Karl Baumann. "The premises look really pretty good," Annemarie Preissner comments. And a fifteen-year-old boy readily with her on this point. Certainly waiting-room looks rather bourgeois with its blue table tops and its public furniture.

And the lemonade and coffee with its wall covered with posters like a teenagers' room from back in the sixties.

A grant of 50,000 Marks is to be given to the YIC in the near future by the Ministry for Inter-German Relations. Among other things a wider range of handbooks is to be put on offer. Newspaper stands are to have copies from the East Bloc countries as well.

The YIC has already made itself known to young people far beyond the borders of Bavaria. Würzburg has already sent its young people with a similar organisation and in Dortmund there is a new leisure centre.

Hamburg and Berlin are now planning information centres on the Munich model.

(Münchener Merkur, 15 January 1971)

Water baby

A new world record in underwater swimming for babies has been set by Eva Gaezinger in Munich. The swimmer covered over 33 yards in seconds.

Last October little Eva demonstrated her swimming skill to Health Minister Käte Strobel. With her distinguished swimsuits, the girl swam unassisted for minutes.

(WELT am SONNTAG, 27 December 1970)

The German Tribune

A WEEKLY REVIEW OF THE GERMAN PRESS

C 20725 C

Detente efforts in Europe have reached a critical stage

The attempt to bring about a relaxation of tension in Central Europe, Germany and, last but not least, in and around Berlin and a return to normal for the general public in particular has reached a critical stage.

The present stage will be a matter of months rather than weeks, and may be longer still. It is all the more important to draw a clear distinction between smoke and fire, between what is really happening and propaganda, between words and deeds.

What is actually going on at the moment is, to all intents and purposes, the Four Power talks on Berlin, including attendant talks on both sides.

Bonn and its three Allies have for some time been agreed as to what represents a satisfactory solution to the Berlin problem, agreed right down to the last detail. The Opposition is well aware of this fact and so are the other members of a Western alliance.

It can likewise be assumed of the Soviet Union and its allies that they are fully aware of what the West wants and that it is prepared to give in return.

Or, indeed, vice-versa. Certainly diplomats empowered accordingly could reach agreement in a matter of days.

Nowadays, however, politics is no longer merely a matter for diplomats conferring behind closed doors. The smoke of propaganda is an integral part of the

present relatively little difficulty. The fourth is the real problem.

So far, gratifyingly enough, there has been little argument as to what represents a satisfactory Berlin agreement. The Opposition, bearing in mind the position already outlined, agrees but prefers in the interest of current negotiations not to debate the issue.

There has also been precious little discussion of the second point. But for Social Democrat Herbert Wehner's misleading comment that failure of the treaties would be a disaster and but for what may already have been an overlong diplomatic silence on the government's part agreement could probably easily have been reached.

It would unquestionably be most regrettable and rather unpleasant for this country but it would by no means be a catastrophe if the treaties with Moscow and Warsaw were not to be ratified for the time being because the other side is either unable or unwilling to make a reasonable contribution towards a satisfactory Berlin settlement.

The third point was raised by the Opposition. It is the suggestion that ratification of the treaties be made dependent not only on a Berlin settlement but also on progress in relations between the two Germanias.

This demand is politically absurd and the presupposed succession of events so unrealistic that it is out of the question no matter what any member of the government may have said at any juncture.

Which brings us to the fourth point, the differing assessment of the repercussions of Bonn's policy in East and West.

The attitude of the West to Bonn's policy towards the Eastern Bloc is an inexhaustible topic for speculation. Everyone quotes whatever suits his own line of argument — and is bound to find some suitable quotation or other.

The weight these arguments really carry



Ambassadorial congo

The Soviet ambassador to the Federal Republic, Semyon Tsarepkin, is returning to Moscow. The Russian embassy will be headed by Valentin Felin. Semyon Tsarepkin took his leave of President Gustav Heinemann on 2 February.

will not be clear until a later stage. What governments think and do will be of far greater importance until such time as a Berlin agreement is either concluded, postponed or proves a failure, and with it the ratification of the Moscow and Warsaw treaties.

Repercussions in the East are of considerably greater importance. More thoughtful members of the Christian Democrats and Christian Social Union, Richard von Weizsäcker, for instance, have recently been sounding a warning note.

Chancellor Willy Brandt's detente offensive, they point out, is a blow at the Achilles heel of communist party and government machines, which have always feared (fears that have again come to the fore following the Polish unrest) that to disengage with this country as a scapegoat and to intensify contacts with Bonn in

particular are bound to weaken their regime and either reduce or render more difficult control over the masses. This is one of the reasons for the increasingly hectic campaign against this country and against so-called Social Democracy in the GDR.

This too is the reason for floor Ulbricht's increasing resistance to a return to normal as practised not only by Willy Brandt but also by his opposite numbers in Moscow and Warsaw.

Walter Ulbricht will and cannot come to heel before Leonid Brezhnev and Edward Gierok do and is more likely to come a poor third.

To this extent Weizsäcker and others contradict Christian Democratic parliamentary party leader Rainer Barzel. But does this mean that Willy Brandt is wrong? Does he have to apply the brakes

Continued on page 2

Moscow clouds the waters of Ostpolitik understanding

There can be no doubt as to what purpose comments of this kind are intended to serve. They are designed to boost political pressure on the Federal government in Bonn and induce the government, which is closely associated with its Eastern policy, to show greater readiness to compromise out of sheer nervousness about the Kremlin's future course.

These moves are not isolated. At the same time Soviet diplomats have tried to convince the British and the French that the only way to bring about a solution is to abandon the rigid outlook adopted by the United States.

The Americans, on the other hand, are told by the Soviet Union that the two great powers ought to act in accordance

with their own interests and ignore those of smaller powers in order to achieve a satisfactory settlement in Berlin.

The GDR is involved to the extent that Premier Willi Stoph has outlined in public what State Secretary Michael Kohl has been demanding of Egon Bahr of the Bonn Chancellery's Office in private since negotiations between the two man recommenced.

Herr Stoph evidently hopes that in view of the forthcoming local election in Berlin and elsewhere a certain degree of hunger for success on the part of the Social and Free Democrat coalition will favour GDR policy in that the government will be tempted to overlook the drawbacks of the GDR proposals.

Whatever the initiators may hope of these tactics there cannot, until proof is forthcoming, be any talk of serious negotiations between East and West, certainly from the viewpoint of increased security in Central Europe and respect for the interests of all concerned.

(DER TAGESSPIEGEL, 6 February 1971)

Frankfurter Allgemeine

ZEITUNG FÜR DEUTSCHLAND

One of the world's top ten

"Zeitung für Deutschland" ("Newspaper for Germany") is a designation that reflects both the Frankfurter Allgemeine's underlying purpose and, more literally, its circulation — which covers West Berlin and the whole of the Federal Republic. In addition to 140 editors and correspondents of its own, the paper has 450 "stringers" reporting from all over Germany and around the world. 300,000 copies are printed daily, of which 220,000 go to subscribers. 20,000 are distributed

abroad, and the balance is sold on newsstands. Every issue is read by at least four or five persons. Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung is the paper of the businessman and the politician, and indeed of everyone who matters in the Federal Republic.

For anyone wishing to penetrate the German market, the Frankfurter Allgemeine has a must. In a country of many famous newspapers its authority, scope, and influence can be matched only at an international level.

Frankfurter Allgemeine

ZEITUNG FÜR DEUTSCHLAND

Member of T.E.A.M. (Top European Advertising Media)

U.S.A.

Advertising representatives:
I.N.T.A. International
and Trade Advertising
1560 Broadway, New York
N.Y. 10036, Tel. 212 581-3755

For Subscriptions:

German Language Publications, Inc.
75 Varick Street
New York, N.Y. 10013
Tel. 212 966-0175

Great Britain:

U.K. Advertisement Office,
Room 300 C - Brocken House
10 Cannon Street
London, E.C. 4
Tel. 01-236 3716

For Financial Advertising:

Thomson Publications Limited
30 Finsbury Square
London, E.C. 2
Tel. 01-628 4050

For Subscriptions:

Seymour Press
Brixton Road 334
London, S.W. 9
Tel. Rad Post 44 44

■ FOREIGN AFFAIRS

Britain's EEC entry vital to all concerned

Britain's Common Market entry negotiations might be taken from a contemporary play. The reality is apparently unreal, laughable and quite absurd.

It is misleading even to use the word negotiations. The Brussels talks are not negotiations in the usual meaning of the word. Basically Britain can only say yes or no to the proposals agreed on by the six member-countries of the European Economic Community (EEC).

Britain is, of course, bringing influence to bear on the course of these protracted consultations, direct influence too. Yet there are no negotiations about the major problems relating to British entry. Apart

from informal discussion little mention is made of them.

Agreement was reached in no time at all about the customs union, a far from unimportant point, but discussion of the future role of sterling is sparse and confidential end discussion of the role of the new, major free trade area is to play in world trade and international affairs is non-existent.

Agriculture, on the other hand, is the subject of endless debate even though it is only a minor sector of the economy in industrialised countries. There is dramatic debate on an agricultural policy that is costing unconscionable sums of money and coming to appear increasingly monstrous.

Yet this is the policy Britain had to accept lock, stock and barrel despite the foreseeable fact that the extension of present EEC agricultural policy to other countries will only multiply existing difficulties.

The greater EEC is visibly sliding into a situation in which there can be no telling whether it will succeed in coping with the power it is accumulating. Absurd but true!

In the circumstances one can understand why Whitehall is having difficulty in explaining to what is still a largely insular-minded general public what benefits are to be derived when the only obvious end immediate consequence is that the price of butter will double within a matter of years.

A visit to London today makes it dramatically clear that the British public, whether interested in politics or not, views 1971 as a watershed.

People remain cool, calm and collected despite the GPO, BEA and Ford strikes but most of them know or realise that the decisions facing government and parliament this year are similar in significance to the Attlee government's decision to grant India independence in 1947.

The Heath government is fighting on three fronts at the same time: for fair entry conditions in Brussels, for modern strike legislation in the Commons and,

last but not least, against inflation inherited from the Wilson administration along with the balance-of-payments surplus.

Mr Heath proposes to fight and win on all three fronts. He feels he has the support of a silent majority, particularly as regards strikes.

Europeans in all three parties hope in the course of the next few months to convince doubters by means of a full-scale campaign that the inevitable initial difficulties can be overcome, that Britain has in any case no viable alternative and that in the long run Britain stands to gain no end of benefit from membership of the European Common Market.

It is against this background that the tough note common to Geoffrey Rippon's speech in Brussels and Anthony Barber's speech in London are to be understood.

The aggressive and sarcastic note sounded by Mr Rippon can only have come as a surprise to people who rated Britain's statements of intent on contributing towards the cost of EEC agricultural policy during the transitional period anything more than an inevitable aspect of a Balkan bargain.

There will, of course, always be an element of Balkan bargaining about negotiations involving major politics, far-reaching decisions and large sums of money. But Mr Rippon seems to have succeeded in making the Six, particularly France, feel a little less sure that they know all the answers. The figures are to be re-examined.

This dispute over millions and percentages is rendered particularly difficult of solution because not even a computer can accurately forecast the burden on Britain, its budget and balance of payments and on the EEC should Britain remain economically weak and sickness-prone.

The way Brussels works there are two months in the year, July and December, in which major decisions are taken. If Ted Heath and Harold Wilson are unable to report to their autumn party conferences that entry terms have been negotiated that they consider to be fair and acceptable even pro-Europeans, tired of a decade of waiting, will strain at the leash. Even for Common Marketeer Ted Heath there are limits to readiness to compromise. Were the negotiations to fail it would be a major disaster for both Britain and Europe as a whole.

Hans Herbert Götz
(Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung, 18. Februar 1971)

China's claim to world-power status undeniable

million young people from the cities who have had the benefit of a higher education have been settled in the countryside in order, so the official story goes, to bridge the gap between town and country and contribute towards swifter development of the countryside.

Last year People's China also emerged from the diplomatic isolation in which it immersed itself during the cultural revolution. Ambassadorial posts allowed to remain vacant during the cultural revolution, including Moscow, have been re-occupied.

Countless state visits have been paid to Peking and diplomatic relations established with Canada, Italy, Equatorial Guinea, Ethiopia and Chile.

Any number of South-East Asian countries that used to tag along behind the United States are now trying to establish links with Peking.

Peking took advantage of the US invasion of Cambodia to undertake a major diplomatic move. Mao Tse-tung emerged from six months in seclusion to assure Norodom Sihanouk of Chinese support. In calling for a conference on

Indo-China Peking has gained greater influence on the course of events and outmanoeuvred the Soviet Union.

Successes are also reported in the economic sector. The third five-year plan is claimed to have been brought to a successful conclusion. For the eighth time in as many years last year's harvest was good. Industrial production was also considerably boosted.

China appears to be in sounder economic health than ever before. It is to continue largely to rely on its own resources in pressing ahead with economic reconstruction. Austerity will remain the keynote of the fourth five-year plan, which according to the New Year message is to start this year.

Last but not least China again boosted its aid to underdeveloped countries. Chinese economic aid consists of either non-repayable or long-term interest-free loans, terms no other country or private institution could hope to equal.

The People's Republic of China considers it to be its international duty to help less-privileged nations. China has not lagged behind in technological development either. Last year Peking put its first satellite into orbit round the Earth.

There can be little stopping China's progress to world power. It already virtually amounts to a historic certainty.

Christian Roll
(Frankfurter Rundschau, 3 February 1971)

Eppler to discuss aid problems with U Thant

In an appeal to the industrial countries of the West Edward U. Thant, the Bonn Minister of Development, has called for a Western development policy, aimed at a greater degree of commitment on the part of the countries of Africa, Asia and Latin America.

Thus, he told the Council for Economic Relations in New York, presupposing all bi- and multilateral aid measures in accordance with the targets of development and their development. The "concerted dialogue" of all countries in a country should be led by developing country itself.

Eppler, who is in the United States to discuss aid problems and to meet UN Secretary-General U. Thant, stated that combinations of the kinds of development assistance was the keynote of the future.

Programmes must be evolved that combine a variety of measures at national and sector of the economy.

The Minister emphasised that the second development decade Bonn had adopted a strategy whereby the world increasingly be vetted not only their contribution towards economic growth but also for the repayment of their loans. The CDU/CSU is meeting with great difficulties in any attempt to leave just minded other donor countries in the same.

This change in development aid policy is not without effect on foreign policy. Dr Eppler feels. For once development aid is primarily aimed at serving the interests of the developing countries themselves.

Short-term clashes with a donor country's foreign policy targets are the means out of the question, "but the aid is not a suitable means for responding to swift changes in the national situation. Friends cannot be bought."

The Minister stated that in his view only good development aid policy can lead to the long term also amount to a foreign policy. A consistent policy, united jointly with the developing countries themselves was, he said, also the country's foreign policy interest.

Government aid must be increased. So must technical assistance. Particularly educational projects and programmes aimed at improving social structures.

Within this framework the main emphasis must be on countries that are not backward.

(Süddeutsche Zeitung, 2 February 1971)

The German Tribune

Publisher: Friedrich Reinecke, Editor: Eberhard Wagner, Assistant Editor: Otto Heinz, Editor: Alexander Anagnost, English language sub-editor: Geoffrey P. General Manager: Hans Reinecke, Lion Manager: Georgine von Pöhl, Friedrich Reinecke Verlag GmbH, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100.

Advertising rates list No. 8 - Annual subscription DM 25.

Printed by Koberg Buch- und Verlags-Druckerei, Hamburg-Blankenese, Distributed by: USA by: MABE MAILINGS, Inc. 540 West Street, New York, N.Y. 10011.

All articles which THE GERMAN TRIBUNE reprints are published in cooperation with the editorial staff of leading newspapers. The Federal Republic of Germany. They are complete translations of the original text. They are not editorially reworked. In all correspondence please quote the publication number which appears on the left of the right of your address.

HOME AFFAIRS

Ostpolitik could lead to another 'religious war'

Many politicians on the government and Opposition benches seem to see a danger that the Federal Republic is in for another "religious war" - this time about Ostpolitik.

It is striking that representatives of the government are stressing more than ever that it is compulsory and speaking with greater compulsion, since it has become a highly business and one that is not proof of disappointing results. On the other hand the CDU/CSU is meeting with great difficulties in any attempt to leave just minded other donor countries in the same.

It is striking that representatives of the government are stressing more than ever that it is compulsory and speaking with greater compulsion, since it has become a highly business and one that is not proof of disappointing results. On the other hand the CDU/CSU is meeting with great difficulties in any attempt to leave just minded other donor countries in the same.

It is striking that representatives of the government are stressing more than ever that it is compulsory and speaking with greater compulsion, since it has become a highly business and one that is not proof of disappointing results. On the other hand the CDU/CSU is meeting with great difficulties in any attempt to leave just minded other donor countries in the same.

It is striking that representatives of the government are stressing more than ever that it is compulsory and speaking with greater compulsion, since it has become a highly business and one that is not proof of disappointing results. On the other hand the CDU/CSU is meeting with great difficulties in any attempt to leave just minded other donor countries in the same.

It is striking that representatives of the government are stressing more than ever that it is compulsory and speaking with greater compulsion, since it has become a highly business and one that is not proof of disappointing results. On the other hand the CDU/CSU is meeting with great difficulties in any attempt to leave just minded other donor countries in the same.

It is striking that representatives of the government are stressing more than ever that it is compulsory and speaking with greater compulsion, since it has become a highly business and one that is not proof of disappointing results. On the other hand the CDU/CSU is meeting with great difficulties in any attempt to leave just minded other donor countries in the same.

It is striking that representatives of the government are stressing more than ever that it is compulsory and speaking with greater compulsion, since it has become a highly business and one that is not proof of disappointing results. On the other hand the CDU/CSU is meeting with great difficulties in any attempt to leave just minded other donor countries in the same.

It is striking that representatives of the government are stressing more than ever that it is compulsory and speaking with greater compulsion, since it has become a highly business and one that is not proof of disappointing results. On the other hand the CDU/CSU is meeting with great difficulties in any attempt to leave just minded other donor countries in the same.

It is striking that representatives of the government are stressing more than ever that it is compulsory and speaking with greater compulsion, since it has become a highly business and one that is not proof of disappointing results. On the other hand the CDU/CSU is meeting with great difficulties in any attempt to leave just minded other donor countries in the same.

It is striking that representatives of the government are stressing more than ever that it is compulsory and speaking with greater compulsion, since it has become a highly business and one that is not proof of disappointing results. On the other hand the CDU/CSU is meeting with great difficulties in any attempt to leave just minded other donor countries in the same.

It is striking that representatives of the government are stressing more than ever that it is compulsory and speaking with greater compulsion, since it has become a highly business and one that is not proof of disappointing results. On the other hand the CDU/CSU is meeting with great difficulties in any attempt to leave just minded other donor countries in the same.

policy which is "an unfortunate mixture of excessively far-reaching willingness to grant material concessions and excessively far-reaching provocation." But how else is tension to be relaxed than through material concessions coupled with firmness in principle?

When the Cold War was at its coldest "social democracy" was a minor problem for the GDR, but in the course of the process of détente it has become a matter of life and death. But is it wrong to relax tension simply because the road to détente is strewn with difficulties and dangers?

Weizsäcker is certainly not calling for a return to the deceptive angry peace of the Cold War. But in the Opposition there is a strong tendency to march backwards into the fifties, to that period of all-or-nothing politics which quite logically led to nothing.

One indication of this is the CDU/CSU's attempt to make ratification of the Moscow and Warsaw treaties depend not only on a satisfactory solution of the Berlin question but additionally on progress in relationships between the two Germanies.

Quite apart from the fact that Berlin is after all situated in Germany and a settlement of the Berlin question must needs touch on inner-German relationships, do the CDU/CSU have no inkling that they are thus taking over the political pattern of behaviour of those

critics maintain that the Bonn government has been too hasty in carrying out Ostpolitik. On the other hand it must be taken into consideration that the means out of the question, "but the aid is not a suitable means for responding to swift changes in the national situation. Friends cannot be bought."

It is striking that representatives of the government are stressing more than ever that it is compulsory and speaking with greater compulsion, since it has become a highly business and one that is not proof of disappointing results. On the other hand the CDU/CSU is meeting with great difficulties in any attempt to leave just minded other donor countries in the same.

It is striking that representatives of the government are stressing more than ever that it is compulsory and speaking with greater compulsion, since it has become a highly business and one that is not proof of disappointing results. On the other hand the CDU/CSU is meeting with great difficulties in any attempt to leave just minded other donor countries in the same.

It is striking that representatives of the government are stressing more than ever that it is compulsory and speaking with greater compulsion, since it has become a highly business and one that is not proof of disappointing results. On the other hand the CDU/CSU is meeting with great difficulties in any attempt to leave just minded other donor countries in the same.

It is striking that representatives of the government are stressing more than ever that it is compulsory and speaking with greater compulsion, since it has become a highly business and one that is not proof of disappointing results. On the other hand the CDU/CSU is meeting with great difficulties in any attempt to leave just minded other donor countries in the same.

It is striking that representatives of the government are stressing more than ever that it is compulsory and speaking with greater compulsion, since it has become a highly business and one that is not proof of disappointing results. On the other hand the CDU/CSU is meeting with great difficulties in any attempt to leave just minded other donor countries in the same.

It is striking that representatives of the government are stressing more than ever that it is compulsory and speaking with greater compulsion, since it has become a highly business and one that is not proof of disappointing results. On the other hand the CDU/CSU is meeting with great difficulties in any attempt to leave just minded other donor countries in the same.

It is striking that representatives of the government are stressing more than ever that it is compulsory and speaking with greater compulsion, since it has become a highly business and one that is not proof of disappointing results. On the other hand the CDU/CSU is meeting with great difficulties in any attempt to leave just minded other donor countries in the same.

left-wing Socialists whom they previously attacked so courageously with their sights set on the perfect outcome and little time for individual progressive steps that are decided or completely rejected?

How would the CDU/CSU react if a settlement of the Berlin problem was found which the Allies, the Berlin Senate and the Bonn government considered satisfactory? Would Rainer Barzel boldly declare that ratification of the Moscow and Warsaw treaties was still not possible because no progress had been made in the relationships between the two Germanies?

A large section of the CDU/CSU views its prejudiced attitude against Ostpolitik as confirmed by the objective difficulties involved in it.

The CDU party political conference passed a programme which scarcely matches up to the Grand Coalition's East Bloc policy. One strong faction that could only just be checked in time was the group that said "Soviet Zone of Occupation" instead of "German Democratic Republic" and regards East Bloc policies as meaning mainly the passing of moral judgments on the communist system in general and the East Berlin regime in particular, as well as regarding the Bonn government as not playing the role of the useful idiot that gives its seal of approval to the Communists.

Where these ideas are ripe practical politics become impossible and degenerate to a declaration, to an attack on communist hegemony and addresses to "subjugated peoples" assuring them that we are their allies.

This fruitless declamation of freedom which serves no purpose but to bolster

moral self-satisfaction was an outstanding factor of this country's East Bloc policies in the fifties and such arguments are being applied again by the CDU in the sphere of domestic policies.

For experts and politicians specialising in foreign affairs the parliamentary party leadership is talking in terms of keeping an open mind on the treaties if the CDU/CSU parliamentary party passes a draft plan on Poland, which leaves room for many interpretations and if a number of leading CDU politicians speak with Communists who are described by other senior CDU politicians as tyrants.

What is now to hold sway, the mood of the early fifties which has been revived for domestic policy purposes or the practical reasonableness of the seventies?

Schröder's warnings

It is not merely by chance that Gerhard Schröder warned his party not to be led astray by the emotions of a moment. The feeling that is at present growing within the CDU/CSU and is becoming more and more a basic and fundamental rejection of Ostpolitik may seem at the moment very useful to the Opposition on the domestic front.

Already the CDU is trying to make the elections in Berlin a plebiscite on Ostpolitik. But what do the CDU/CSU want to do if they return to power? Do they really believe the story of which many of their leaders are trying to convince themselves and others? Do they really mean to open new negotiations in Moscow and Warsaw on the treaties?

It is not that they must give up their Opposition role and no one would suggest that the opponents in Bonn should become one shapless whole. The government and the Opposition must, however, try to curb the emotions stirred by the "religious war" at home revolving round foreign policies.

Rolf Zundel
(DIE ZEIT, 5 February 1971)

Ralf Dahrendorf calls Europe a 'giant in chains'

Germany, whatever the name is taken to mean, is a country in the middle of Europe with no firm boundaries either to the East nor the West.

This simple geographical fact goes some way towards explaining the inner tensions and outward pressures to which we Germans are subject and to which we are subject others.

This fact also makes it clear that Westpolitik and Ostpolitik are inseparably tied together for the government in Bonn and for the people of this country.

The historical and political dimensions of the geographical situation were discussed in the Bundestag during the state of the nation debate.

These discussions have calmed down. Foreign observers stated that the debate was of a very high level.

There are, in the main, two reasons for this. The Social Democrat/Free Democrat coalition government has realised that it can not make up in a few months all the ground that has been lost in Europe by the errors and omissions of decades.

And the Christian Democrat/Christian Social Union Opposition has come to realise that its fall from grace in autumn 1969 was not just a professional hazard, nor an event that could be made to happen if it bared its teeth.

Both reasons taken together mean that the danger of a polarisation of political life with SPD/CDU voters wanting co-operation with eastern Europe and CDU/

CSU voters calling for integration in western Europe has become more remote. Berlin and the German Democratic Republic plus the Moscow and Warsaw treaties are all still making headlines. But the new wave of the government's Ostpolitik has rather worn off. This is a good thing.

At least we no longer have to argue about whether it was the politicians driving the publicists or the publicists driving the politicians into hectic action; the outcome being that both got out of breath.

There is still talk of European policy which should by rights be called western European policy since Europe does not end at either the Werra or the Vistula.

Professor Ralf Dahrendorf, a member of the European Economic Community Commission in Brussels representing this country, hardly knew where to end when describing this phenomenon.

There are fifty countries with six partners at the heart, and in addition associate agreements and preferences, with four countries on the waiting list for entry. This is a mighty economic power stretching virtually from the northern polar regions to the northern boundary of South Africa and taking in the Mediterranean.

This is Ralf Dahrendorf's "giant in chains" about which he and many others are quite rightly proud, being full of patriotism for Europe. It is a new feeling

with many faces somewhere between unjail monuments and EEC regulations, including an amendment to the regulations for the constituents of mayonnaises!

In fact all is far from quiet on the Western Front. The Werner Plan for an economic and currency union has fallen through along with the measures agreed by Willy Brandt and Georges Pompidou and now there is the crucial stage of negotiations for entry between the Common Market and the applicant countries.

The giant is not being unchained yet, but the question is now muscular will we allow it to become, how soft will its bones be and how melodic will the creature's voice be.

It is essential that this creature (Dahrendorf's expression) should not become like Frankenstein's monster, deformed from birth with a warped mind, trampling on all good intentions as soon as it is released from the chains.

It is no use the CDU/CSU waving the flag of a European federal State that was dragged along in the mud when they were in power. It is not sufficient for Willy Brandt to come up with formulas of "pragmatism with definite aims in mind". If this western Europe is to become a better place and not just patched up ruins we must know: what kind of Europe? Far-reaching integration or just co-operation?

How much democracy and how much power in the hands of executives? What are the yardsticks to be set for social service policies? These are plain questions and fancy words from left and right will not be acceptable as an answer.

Rolf Brettenstein
(Frankfurter Rundschau, 3 February 1971)

■ CENTREPIECE

Comprehensive comparison of life in the Federal Republic and the GDR

This year's Report on the State of the Nation delivered to the Bundestag by Chancellor Willy Brandt on 28 January was accompanied by a study drawn up by a team of sociologists headed by Peter Christian Ludz of Bielefeld. The final version was decided after discussions with a political group headed by Leo Bauer, chief editor of the "Neue Gesellschaft" (New Society). The study is divided into eight chapters. The first is entitled "The two German rump states in the world and their mutual relations — aspects and trends" and tries to define the political situation. The following chapters attempt a comparison of various sectors in the two states. They deal with "Population and Labour Structure", "Production and Productivity", "Chief Factors of Infrastructure", "Income, Consumption and Standard of Living", "Chief Aspects of Social Security" and "The Position of Youth". All data were taken from the period between 1960 and 1969.

A number of objections can be raised against the attempt to compare living conditions in the two German rump states. Apart from the degree of error involved in converting data to comparable datum levels, it must also be asked whether there is any sense at all in taking figures out of their multiple context and forcing them into a statistical table.

The main distinguishing feature between the Federal Republic and the German Democratic Republic (GDR) is the whole period of their existence.

When isolating various spheres, there is the danger of neglecting the matter of main importance — the differences in their political and economic systems, the differing character of the interrelationship between various spheres and particular aspects of the resultant situation.

But, this is still the most stimulating political study to have been published in a long while and is the most substantial contribution to the discussion of German policy for years.

It provides material, not observations. It does not describe the true situation but does give the reader help in viewing the true situation. It suggests the proportions and direction of developments. All in all, it removes many a basis for sweeping generalisations and animosity.

The writers were aware of the limits of their work. They keep to their material, the statistical processing and comparison of data, with all the disadvantages that this method entails.

This prevents the work from following a trend that occasionally crops up in the Federal Republic — the somewhat masochistic tendency to attach too much value to certain aspects of life in the GDR.

When the material proves unsatisfactory, this is normally due to the lack of appropriate data or the fact that the method chosen cannot do justice to the subject. The section on the position of the young provides one example of this.

Some important, indeed crucial problems have to be omitted, including comparisons of laws governing industrial relations, investment, voluntary social contributions, and the role of trade unions.

The aim of the study was, in the words of the authors, "to show, descriptively and empirically, the differences, parallels and similarities between certain social and economic spheres in the Federal Republic and the GDR."

Taking as a basis the example of an industrial society aiming for growth and efficiency, as the authors of the book have done, the following features predominate:

1. The Federal Republic proves to be a more efficient rump state than the GDR. Although the efforts of the GDR have obviously met with success, the gap between it and the Federal Republic has grown. This is most true of the social product, economic productivity and the standard of living.

2. The GDR is less modern than the Federal Republic in a number of sectors that are important though not crucial for an industrial society. This applies to growth industries, service industries, power and transport. Though there is a greater proportion of workers in the total population, industrial productivity in the GDR is far lower. That means that in the GDR more people work under more difficult conditions and with less success than in the Federal Republic.

3. In the Federal Republic individuals are allowed more standing, responsibility and free rein in all spheres than in the GDR. Examples of this are provided by the consumer share of the social product, the role of private transport and building.

4. There is a tendency in the Federal Republic to adopt a more discriminating and flexible attitude as regards institutions and output, so as to cater for as many needs as possible. The GDR on the other hand, prefers a relatively rigid regimentation that is only capable of adaptation within a narrow limit and that aims directly or indirectly to level off differences or at least keep them within limits. Wages, prices and the social services provide examples of this.

5. The main advantages of the GDR are to be found in spheres where control can be centralised, clearly arranged and standardised. Education is one example.

6. Various developments that have been of decisive influence on the mode of life in the Federal Republic during the past twenty years have either not occurred in the GDR or are only just beginning. These include the growth of contributions and the increase in traffic.

Let us now turn to the authors' main findings in the individual sections.

Population and employment: The Federal Republic is far more densely populated than the GDR. More than three times as many people live in an area that is twice as big. Population figures per square kilometre are 245 in the Federal Republic and 158 in the GDR.

The gap is continually widening. The population of the Federal Republic is growing, mainly because of immigration, while the GDR population is stagnant.

The age structure is indeed the same in the two rump states, caused mainly by the two world wars, but the GDR has more old people. 22 per cent of the population there are over sixty years of age, while the figure for the Federal Republic is about 18.9.

Only 14.9 per cent of the population belong to the important age range between 40 and 55 in the GDR compared with the Federal Republic figure of 16.9 per cent.

It is striking that people get married at a younger age in the GDR. The number of divorces is also relatively higher.

Population movements in the two states differ considerably. There is a greater movement of population in the Federal Republic and a stronger tendency to concentrate into conurbations. Both

trends are characteristic of a modern society.

Expressing it in figures, every year 48 per cent of the population of the Federal Republic move to a different town or local district. The figure for the GDR is only eighteen per cent. There is an interesting trend here as the comparable figure was 31 per cent two years ago.

In the Federal Republic one person in three lives in a town of over 100,000 inhabitants while the figure for the GDR is one in five.

The proportion of the population at work is considerably higher in the GDR than in the Federal Republic. One person in two, or to be more exact 50.9 per cent, work in the GDR while the Federal Republic figure is 44.4 per cent. The GDR figure is one of the highest in the world and is only exceeded in Europe by Bulgaria and Rumania.

As the figures for males are practically the same, the difference is caused by two groups — pensioners who often continue working in the GDR and, above all, women.

In 1964 a total of 60.5 per cent of all women in the GDR aged between 25 and 65 were at work while the figure for the Federal Republic was only 41.8 per cent.

The proportion of people in various jobs is similar though there are differences caused by the varying degree of industrialisation.

Less people are employed in agriculture in the Federal Republic than in the GDR and there are more people in trade, transport and the service industries.

The proportion of university graduates is also higher in the Federal Republic. But the rise in the number of graduates of vocational colleges — 4.2 per cent in the GDR in 1964 compared with the Federal Republic figure of 4 per cent — and the number of people in technical jobs to the initial result of the encouragement and control of the education system in the GDR.

Chief factors in infrastructure: The most striking difference is that between the transport networks. While the motor-

way and trunk road network has been considerably enlarged in the Federal Republic, in the GDR it has largely remained stagnant at the pre-war state. Work did not start on expanding the motorway network until last year.

The same is true of the railways, canals and trunk lines. Modernisation and expansion has only begun recently.

This is underlined by the vast difference in the structure of goods and passenger traffic. Rail transport still dominates in the GDR though it is on the decrease. Three-quarters of all freight still goes by rail while the figure for the Federal Republic is only a third.

The proportion of road freight is small — six per cent of the total traffic compared with twenty per cent in the Federal Republic.

The proportion of canal traffic and trunk lines is also low. On the other hand the ratio of the various types of transport and communication is far more balanced in the Federal Republic.

In passenger traffic the proportion of private transport in the GDR is lower than in the Federal Republic. Only one person in four has a car. No more than

half of all passenger traffic is carried by private cars, motor cycles or mopeds.

Though there is a trend in this direction in the GDR, official policy there acts as a damper. The price of cars and parts kept artificially high. The price of transport, especially workers' transport, kept low. The price of workers' transport is only one third of what it is in the Federal Republic.

Power in the Federal Republic is supplied by various methods such as coal, oil and gas. In the GDR lignite, or brown coal, predominates, supplying most of the three-quarters of the power. The study though its importance can scarcely be over-estimated.

Linked with this, is the fact that the production potential of the GDR is much smaller than that of the Federal Republic.

The contribution of various sectors to economic productivity varies. In the GDR industry and agriculture predominate. In the Federal Republic the influence of trade, transport and service industries is considerably greater.

This means that those factors that do not directly produce but supply and distribute and whose importance increases with the complex nature and activity of an economy are not so pronounced in the GDR.

The third factor of infrastructure considered in the study is housing. Comparing the Federal Republic and the GDR, the importance of the private sector can be plainly seen.

Between 1950 and 1968 three times as many houses per head were built in the Federal Republic as in the GDR. The number of houses doubled here, while in the GDR it went up by only twenty per cent.

Half of all houses in the Federal Republic today have been built since 1950, one in five in the past eight years. The comparable figures for the GDR are twenty and ten per cent.

Houses in the Federal Republic have become increasingly larger and more comfortable while the size of at least standardised pre-fabricated homes in the GDR has remained the same — 54 square metres compared with 86 square metres in the Federal Republic.

Each inhabitant of the Federal Republic has an average living space of 19 square metres while the GDR figure is 14 square metres. But the GDR claims that the ratio of houses to population is larger in the GDR than in the Federal Republic.

This improbable result could be due simply to different census methods or different statistical definitions for population. In the GDR, then in the Federal Republic, but there too productivity lags a long way behind. A figure of forty per cent is attributed for 1968.

Incomes, consumption and standard of living: The gap in the standard of living between the Federal Republic and the GDR has also become wider in recent years.

While the proportion of private consumption in the social product has remained about the same in the Federal Republic, it has dropped in the GDR from 62 per cent in 1960 to 54 per cent in 1969.

Where incomes are concerned, this trend is confirmed by the considerable difference between net incomes in the two countries. For individual workers the average monthly net income is 1,007 Marks in the Federal Republic and 650 Marks in the GDR. For working households the average monthly net incomes are 1,450 Marks in the Federal Republic and 970 Marks in the GDR.

Deductions are however far higher in the Federal Republic than in the GDR where they have remained fairly constant around 13.5 per cent.

Continued from page 4

roughly the same the gap between the two countries as regards social product has also widened overall.

Industry in the GDR only produced about 25 per cent of what the Federal Republic did in the years between 1960 and 1968 in spite of having 34 per cent of the Federal Republic's labour force and about thirty per cent of its industrial potential.

That means that the GDR has only about one-fifth of the industrial potential of the Federal Republic. Labour productivity amounted to only 72 per cent.

Statistics believe that the GDR lags behind the Federal Republic by anything between three and seven and a half years, kept low. The price of workers' transport is only one third of what it is in the Federal Republic.

There are diverse reasons for this. One of course the different economic system with all that it entails for the organisation and running of the economy. This factor was not considered in the three-quarters of the power. The study though its importance can scarcely be over-estimated.

Linked with this, is the fact that the production potential of the GDR is much smaller than that of the Federal Republic.

The contribution of various sectors to economic productivity varies. In the GDR industry and agriculture predominate. In the Federal Republic the influence of trade, transport and service industries is considerably greater.

This means that those factors that do not directly produce but supply and distribute and whose importance increases with the complex nature and activity of an economy are not so pronounced in the GDR.

The third factor of infrastructure considered in the study is housing. Comparing the Federal Republic and the GDR, the importance of the private sector can be plainly seen.

Between 1950 and 1968 three times as many houses per head were built in the Federal Republic as in the GDR. The number of houses doubled here, while in the GDR it went up by only twenty per cent.

Half of all houses in the Federal Republic today have been built since 1950, one in five in the past eight years. The comparable figures for the GDR are twenty and ten per cent.

Houses in the Federal Republic have become increasingly larger and more comfortable while the size of at least standardised pre-fabricated homes in the GDR has remained the same — 54 square metres compared with 86 square metres in the Federal Republic.

Each inhabitant of the Federal Republic has an average living space of 19 square metres while the GDR figure is 14 square metres. But the GDR claims that the ratio of houses to population is larger in the GDR than in the Federal Republic.


This improbable result could be due simply to different census methods or different statistical definitions for population. In the GDR, then in the Federal Republic, but there too productivity lags a long way behind. A figure of forty per cent is attributed for 1968.

Incomes, consumption and standard of living: The gap in the standard of living between the Federal Republic and the GDR has also become wider in recent years.

While the proportion of private consumption in the social product has remained about the same in the Federal Republic, it has dropped in the GDR from 62 per cent in 1960 to 54 per cent in 1969.

Where incomes are concerned, this trend is confirmed by the considerable difference between net incomes in the two countries. For individual workers the average monthly net income is 1,007 Marks in the Federal Republic and 650 Marks in the GDR. For working households the average monthly net incomes are 1,450 Marks in the Federal Republic and 970 Marks in the GDR.

Deductions are however far higher in the Federal Republic than in the GDR where they have remained fairly constant around 13.5 per cent.



Because of the wages spiral in the Federal Republic and the raising of limits and contributions for social insurance deductions have increased here from 15.7 per cent in 1960 to 20.8 per cent in 1969.

Higher incomes are almost exclusively a success of the last ten years. In 1960 net incomes were about the same in both the GDR and the Federal Republic. But while gross income has almost doubled since then in the Federal Republic, it has only risen by about a third in the GDR.

Along with the wages spiral in the Federal Republic, there have been pronounced differences in the various jobs. Incomes in the GDR are allowed little free play, though hard too it has grown.

Almost half of all households in the Federal Republic earn over 1,200 Marks a month compared with just about a fifth in the GDR. Almost one in three households in the Federal Republic earn over 1,500 Marks a month but only one in twenty in the GDR.

Prices have risen considerably over the past few years in the Federal Republic compared with the largely constant price structure in the GDR.

Basic food prices, service industries and rents are all cheaper in the GDR. But the prices of industrial products, luxuries and a considerable number of food products are all much higher than in the Federal Republic.

Comparing the two shows that the advantages of low fixed prices do not balance the disadvantages of the exaggerated prices. The purchasing power of the GDR Mark is still below that of the Deutschmark and the more luxurious the goods are, the more it lags.

Real incomes gap

When it is considered that rising incomes lead to a decline in the importance of cheap basic foods in the private household budget and leads to an increase in the attraction of expensive industrial goods, it can be seen that, as the report claims, there is a real income gap between the Federal Republic and the GDR that has grown considerably from about 32 per cent in 1960 to some 45 per cent in 1969.

This does not take into account the fact that quality, range and service in the GDR still lags behind the Federal Republic.

State expenditure on culture, education and the social services must be added to this though it cannot be calculated exactly. However the report concludes that this expenditure probably works out

between the GDR and the Federal Republic are most pronounced in this sector. In the GDR there is more specialisation, a less complicated educational system, smooth transition between the various sectors, stricter control and a plain preference for technical careers.

In the Federal Republic there is a greater variety within the education system, the structure is more complicated and there is not so much stress on technical careers.

The greatest differences are to be found in the apprenticeship system, the development of vocational colleges and correspondence and similar courses.

Vocational schools predominate in the GDR with 58.4 per cent compared with the Federal Republic figure of 1.3 per cent. The proportion of the total period of education spent at these vocational schools is about forty per cent, twice as high as in the Federal Republic.

Two or three days a week must be spent in vocational schools in the GDR but only one in the Federal Republic. The training period is however shorter in the GDR — between two and two and a half years compared with three to three and a half years in the Federal Republic.

The advanced vocational schools have been both concentrated and specialised in the GDR. There are over 3,000 advanced vocational schools in the Federal Republic but only 189 in the GDR. There were still 256 there in 1960.

The number of pupils attending the advanced vocational schools has rocketed in recent years. In the GDR it rose 9.8 per cent between 1964 and 1969. The comparable figure for the Federal Republic rose by 11.8 per cent.

The proportion of advanced vocational school pupils is twice as high (2.4 per cent compared with one per cent). As in the Federal Republic the technical sciences and education are the most popular subjects, but in the GDR the percentage for the technical subjects is greater — 41 per cent compared with 39.2 per cent. But the number of teachers is smaller in the GDR when related to the number of pupils.

GDR emphasises specialisation

In the GDR's university system there is a trend to specialisation with the result that the number of faculties is dropping. The trend in the Federal Republic is diametrically opposed — many technical colleges have been made full universities and the aim is the integration of all further education into a comprehensive university.

Between 1960 and 1968 both the number of students and the number of universities has risen more in the Federal Republic than in the GDR, the number of students by 49.3 per cent compared with 20.7 per cent. In the GDR no new universities were established in the period between 1960 and 1968.

But the proportion of students is higher in the GDR. In 1968 fourteen of every 1,000 inhabitants aged between 18 and 45 studied in the Federal Republic while seventeen in every thousand studied in the GDR.

Once again, the technical subjects are stressed in the GDR. Between 1962 and 1967 the proportion of technical graduates rose from 14.9 to 20.4 per cent in the GDR. It sank during the same period in the Federal Republic from 10.3 to 9.3 per cent.

25 per cent of all university students are on correspondence and similar courses while the figure for technical colleges is as high as 39.3 per cent.

A comparison with the Federal Republic is scarcely possible as correspondence and similar courses play no more than a small role here and are isolated from other courses of education.

Hermann Rudolph
(Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung
für Deutschland, 27 January 1971)

■ DRAMA

Peter Handke's most difficult work staged in Berlin

Wolfgang Wiens of Frankfurt's Verlag der Autoren says that Peter Handke's new play *Ritt über den Bodensee* (Ride across Lake Constance) is not only the most difficult work from the bestseller workshop of the avant-garde's molly-cuddled child but the "most difficult work I have ever come across".

Wolfgang Wiens should know. For the past two months he has been rehearsing the play for anything up to fifteen hours a day along with 28-year-old Peter Handke, the author of *Publikumsbeschimpfung*, and *Die Angst des Tormanns beim Elfmeter*, Claus Peymann, the Handke cennisseur, backer and producer, and the cast, or collective, of the left-wing Berlin theatre, the Schaubühne am Händel-Platz.

Der Ritt über den Bodensee is a drama that is extremely artificial in construction and is only apparently a play of the conversational theatre. A spokesman for Handke's publishers has said, "I can understand everybody who tells me that they cannot get to grips with Handke's new work."

Despite these alarming judgements, the Schaubühne has never before been the centre of such interest. The name Handke has become a symbol for shocks and sensation. And no other author is claimed as their own by so many groups at the same time.

The young best set accepted beat-sat member Handke as one of their own as showing his preference for subculture. He likes going to the cinema and goes there a lot. The weekly *Spiegel* claims that he goes ninety times a month. He plays pin-machines and likes football, both the real thing and the table-top variety.

Even the popular press rejoiced when he tried to pass off i FC Nuremberg's team list for their match on 27 January 1968 as poetry.

When Handke openly admits that he would like the present social system to be overthrown, the left wing applauds.

When Handke is more discriminating in his judgment, even his opponents become more indulgent.

Handke has passed judgment on the cultural groups of the Socialist Students' Union: "Completely superfluous." He has spoken of the term Socialism: "A hackneyed word." He refers to the left-wing cultural ideologist Peter Hamm as "a teased-out cultural gangster".

He has described the work of many left-wing authors and "left-wing kitsch". Speaking of himself, he has said, "You cannot be an effective left-wing author."

When Handke signs books that his fans bring him "Rey Black" or "Heinle", people laugh along with him.

Literary criticism has been forced to discuss him since April 1966 at the latest. It was then that Handke went to the Gruppe 47 congress in Princeton and dismissed the work of "these grandfathers" as "trifling, idiotic and completely barren". He went even further. Handke, at that time 23 years old, spoke of descriptive impotence and descriptive literature.

Of course he too provides examples of descriptive literature. Critics almost unanimously slammed *Die Hornissen*.

But he was also able to establish himself as a successful innovator. With his anti-theatre plays such as *Publikumsbeschimpfung* and *Das Mundel will Vor-*



Peter Handke (left) discussing his work with stage director Wolfgang Wiens and Claus Peymann (Photo: Jutta)

mund sein has achieved what normally only the Classicists achieve - he filled the theatres, small though they may have been and loud as the protests were before degenerating into violence.

He rocketed up the bestseller charts with *Die Angst des Tormanns beim Elfmeter* (The Goalkeeper's Fear when facing a Penalty). Fifty thousand copies of this novel have been printed despite the fact that it is difficult to read.

In *Ritt über den Bodensee* Handke tries to depict the normal human behaviour in our society through quite banal statements and to prove that these remarks do not, as commonly supposed, function in a free interplay of forces but are programmed.

Handke prefers to work with linguistic clichés and then proves that these stereotyped phrases are so pregnant with meaning that the intended message meets

with a different reaction from the one intended. The music that Marius Constant wrote for the play is a new attempt that - once again a pre-programmed reaction - is utterly that even the action of the novel was no longer discernible.

"Someone is buttoning up his jacket." "No, I'm just for it is practically unbearable. When the music that Marius Constant wrote for the play is a new attempt that - once again a pre-programmed reaction - is utterly that even the action of the novel was no longer discernible.

"Another person draws his shoulder together." "No, I'm just for it is practically unbearable. When the music that Marius Constant wrote for the play is a new attempt that - once again a pre-programmed reaction - is utterly that even the action of the novel was no longer discernible.

The fact that such meanings are fitted into pseudo-dramatic modern film connected with those utterances and music by means of a large orchestra and a person does not become aware of modernistic ideas. Joan-Marie Auberson actual events until afterwards explain conduct.

The costumes show that no expense has been spared. They are designed by the Bodensee (The rider and Lake Constance well-known Paris designer of stage kitsch, by Gustav Schwab (1792-1850) s. Bernard Dydé. Very, very chic!

And now to Marcel Marceau's production of the play. He tries first of all to relate the various stages in the action of Voltaire's novel. Immediately he comes up against situations that can only be expressed in words and certainly not in mime.

So imagine what happens when he comes to the actual core of Voltaire's *philosophique* - satire of Baroque Nationalism! The maxims of the philosopher Pangloss who is Candide's preceptor, that everything is for the best in the best of all possible worlds, are turned by Marceau into a confusing mime version: Our head is made for thinking, our nose is for smelling, our belly for eating - end of our books are made for walking.

What we are left in doubt about is whether everybody's head is really made for thinking.

This version of *Candide* is so empty it is just a nothing on which Marceau works for fifty minutes at great expense. But he is flinging a dead horse. Nothing comes of it.

Artistically speaking it is sometimes possible to achieve a very imposing effect by flogging a dead horse. But the dancers at the Hamburg Staatsoper are the most proficient when it comes to flogging a dead horse and the whole story becomes a torture.

Even Marceau himself, who provides the prelude to the evening with a few solo mime from his repertoire which are quite fascinating, falls down in his portrayal of Candide, which is just a pale shadow. For the subject offers no situation in which anyone could excel in mime drama. There is no material there that could produce an effect in the form of mime.

We could at least have expected Marceau to give the work an acceptable choreographic setting, which we are told, some of his earlier group pantomimes had with great effect.

But in *Candide* there is just a constant repetition of confusion, which is only relieved in one or two scenes by decorative cliché-ridden arrangements.

Reinhard Baith (Köln: Stadt-Anzeiger, 27 January 1971)

Uwe Brandner received a government grant of 200,000 Marks for his script and produced the film himself.

(Frankfurter Rundschau, 23 January 1971)

A still from Brandner's film 'I love you - I kill you' (Photo: Brandner-Produktion)

THE ARTS

Marcel Marceau's 'Candide' in Hamburg

Then Rolf Liebermann tried to sign up the French mime artist Marcel Marceau as ballet director for the Hamburg Staatsoper dance exports were so good at the prospect that he was to give up the idea.

The whole project was condensed into the appearance of Marceau as the mime artist for *Candide*, which was premiered at the Staatsoper.

It is based on Voltaire's philosophical novel of the same name, a work that has its capacity to do very well about Claude Rostand working on it as libretto and mime artists to bring it to life.

Dancers and mime artists have often tried, but never succeeded, to bring thoughts and ideas to the stage and these are the essence of Voltaire's work. Marceau's mime drama is a new attempt that - once again a pre-programmed reaction - is utterly that even the action of the novel was no longer discernible.

"Someone is buttoning up his jacket." "No, I'm just for it is practically unbearable. When the music that Marius Constant wrote for the play is a new attempt that - once again a pre-programmed reaction - is utterly that even the action of the novel was no longer discernible.

"Another person draws his shoulder together." "No, I'm just for it is practically unbearable. When the music that Marius Constant wrote for the play is a new attempt that - once again a pre-programmed reaction - is utterly that even the action of the novel was no longer discernible.

The fact that such meanings are fitted into pseudo-dramatic modern film connected with those utterances and music by means of a large orchestra and a person does not become aware of modernistic ideas. Joan-Marie Auberson actual events until afterwards explain conduct.

The costumes show that no expense has been spared. They are designed by the Bodensee (The rider and Lake Constance well-known Paris designer of stage kitsch, by Gustav Schwab (1792-1850) s. Bernard Dydé. Very, very chic!

And now to Marcel Marceau's production of the play. He tries first of all to relate the various stages in the action of Voltaire's novel. Immediately he comes up against situations that can only be expressed in words and certainly not in mime.

So imagine what happens when he comes to the actual core of Voltaire's *philosophique* - satire of Baroque Nationalism! The maxims of the philosopher Pangloss who is Candide's preceptor, that everything is for the best in the best of all possible worlds, are turned by Marceau into a confusing mime version: Our head is made for thinking, our nose is for smelling, our belly for eating - end of our books are made for walking.

What we are left in doubt about is whether everybody's head is really made for thinking.

This version of *Candide* is so empty it is just a nothing on which Marceau works for fifty minutes at great expense. But he is flinging a dead horse. Nothing comes of it.

Artistically speaking it is sometimes possible to achieve a very imposing effect by flogging a dead horse. But the dancers at the Hamburg Staatsoper are the most proficient when it comes to flogging a dead horse and the whole story becomes a torture.

Even Marceau himself, who provides the prelude to the evening with a few solo mime from his repertoire which are quite fascinating, falls down in his portrayal of Candide, which is just a pale shadow. For the subject offers no situation in which anyone could excel in mime drama. There is no material there that could produce an effect in the form of mime.

We could at least have expected Marceau to give the work an acceptable choreographic setting, which we are told, some of his earlier group pantomimes had with great effect.

But in *Candide* there is just a constant repetition of confusion, which is only relieved in one or two scenes by decorative cliché-ridden arrangements.

Reinhard Baith (Köln: Stadt-Anzeiger, 27 January 1971)

Uwe Brandner received a government grant of 200,000 Marks for his script and produced the film himself.

(Frankfurter Rundschau, 23 January 1971)

A still from Brandner's film 'I love you - I kill you' (Photo: Brandner-Produktion)



Marcel Marceau in his mimodrama 'Candide' premiered in Hamburg

(Photo: Fritz Beyer)

Marilyn Monroe lives again in a new play premiered in Darmstadt

Gerlind Reinshagen has written ten radio plays and one for the stage entitled *Doppelkopf*, which was given its premiere at Frankfurt's Theater am Turm in 1968. It was later performed in Berlin, Bonn and Amsterdam.

She has been working for two years on her latest play, *The Life and Death of Marilyn Monroe*, which was recently premiered at the Darmstadt Landestheater.

Gerlind Reinshagen says today that the point of her new play was to show how the masses identify themselves with the idol and the idol identifies himself or herself with the masses.

But the construction and performance of the play show clearly that she originally had a different intention in mind. The title in which two young people try to resurrect their favourite stars with self-hypnosis seems by the way and contrived. At the centre of the play must stand Marilyn Monroe and her fate.

From this point of view we see that Gerlind Reinshagen has created as a pattern for her play ceremonial directives for a cult or ritual.

Some people might get the impression that they had strayed into a theatre presenting a Walpurgis night scene directed by Hans Neuenfels, portraying our life and times and lifting off all the masks.

The artistic ploy of showing the rise and fall of Marilyn Monroe in our days of psychedelic ritual might well have worked. For this would have shown that she was more than a sex symbol, like so many others who are long forgotten now. She would be shown as an Aphrodite, a woman on whom the whole of modern yearning for protection and comfort, the whole fear of living in the world today, could be projected.

In her matted up life beneath the golden mask of the dream-factory star, suicide was not just a way out, a natural consequence, but also a choice to live on. If meant immortality to her.

Gottfried Benn pointed out this aspect of suicide among writers. Gerlind Reinshagen seems to have been thinking along these lines as well.

A scene from 'The Life and Death of Marilyn Monroe' presented at Darmstadt (Photo: Fritz Ludwig)

Hamburg production of Hacks' latest not so good

Since I've been acquainted with the musical I have learnt to like the operetta!" said Peter Hacks, and as far as his 'operetta for actors' *Die schöne Helena* is concerned one can but agree with him.

This not only has Jacques Offenbach's fiery melodies and a witty bubbling dialogue, but also a fair degree of social criticism.

The story of Helen and Paris and their love that leads to the ten-year Trojan war is well enough known. Taking this as the basis for his play Hacks has written of the fight of powerful love against crudity.

If a victory for the goddess of love, Venus, whose ideals spread among the people like wildfire, ensues, then the powers-that-be, the warriors, the bankers and the priests could be in extreme danger.

Hacks says: "Only time will tell whether love is all-conquering; love or crudity. Men never give up hoping".

In the production at Hamburg's Thalia Theater Boy Gebert was not sparing in brecheurs or in machinery (the stage setting was by Toni Businger) nor did he go without getting some big-name actors, including Johann von Koczan, Hubert von Meyerinck and Hubert Suschka.

Despite this the production was unsatisfactory. A comparison with the premiere at East Berlin's Deutsches Theater, directed by Banno Besson, shows one or two reasons why.

Gebert pushed the satirical aspects of Hacks' play too far into the background, toning down the attacks on petty bourgeois narrow-mindedness and emphasising the operetta aspects.

There was too little of the nevelty that Hacks called for in his play, the nevelty of antiquity. Less awkward directness would undoubtedly have contributed to the cohesion of the whole. This is particularly true of Hubert Suschka as the High Priest Calchas.

This character is the real motive force behind the plot, the intriguer whose machinations in the cause of power and business drive the play along.

In Berlin Rolf Ludwig played this role quietly, cunningly and therefore appeared a far more insidious and menacing Calchas. Hubert Suschka belted the part out and discussed his planned intrigues in advance with the audience by means of signals.

Johanne von Koczan fitted in well with the reputation of Helen as being the most beautiful woman in Greece. There-

Continued on page 9

Uwe Brandner's first film examines the 'free society'

feeling of discontent in the bud. In cases of emergency they are provided by two almost unemployed village policemen.

The teacher's attempt to break out of the mechanism of the village, logically ends with his death. The love of the hunter, played by Rolf Becker, for the teacher (Hannas Fuchs) is only possible as long as the teacher respects the rules.


When he does not use the gun that the hunter gave him out of love, to shoot wolves and wild dogs but uses it to poach, he is using it, from the hunter's point of

view, against the hunter himself.

As he has contravened the laws of the village he loses his position as a human being in the eyes of the hunter and the villagers and assumes the position of a wolf.

When the hunter has the police shoot his friend turned poacher the love between the two finds its logical continuation - its reversal.

But the hunter's love suddenly becomes an irritant. He had previously abided by the rules and was satisfied by the amount



of freedom he was allowed. The teacher, the poacher now leads him to recognize the actual core of Voltaire's *philosophique* - satire of Baroque Nationalism! The maxims of the philosopher Pangloss who is Candide's preceptor, that everything is for the best in the best of all possible worlds, are turned by Marceau into a confusing mime version: Our head is made for thinking, our nose is for smelling, our belly for eating - end of our books are made for walking.

What we are left in doubt about is whether everybody's head is really made for thinking.

This version of *Candide* is so empty it is just a nothing on which Marceau works for fifty minutes at great expense. But he is flinging a dead horse. Nothing comes of it.

Artistically speaking it is sometimes possible to achieve a very imposing effect by flogging a dead horse. But the dancers at the Hamburg Staatsoper are the most proficient when it comes to flogging a dead horse and the whole story becomes a torture.

Even Marceau himself, who provides the prelude to the evening with a few solo mime from his repertoire which are quite fascinating, falls down in his portrayal of Candide, which is just a pale shadow. For the subject offers no situation in which anyone could excel in mime drama. There is no material there that could produce an effect in the form of mime.

We could at least have expected Marceau to give the work an acceptable choreographic setting, which we are told, some of his earlier group pantomimes had with great effect.

But in *Candide* there is just a constant repetition of confusion, which is only relieved in one or two scenes by decorative cliché-ridden arrangements.

Reinhard Baith (Köln: Stadt-Anzeiger, 27 January 1971)

Uwe Brandner received a government grant of 200,000 Marks for his script and produced the film himself.

(Frankfurter Rundschau, 23 January 1971)

A still from Brandner's film 'I love you - I kill you' (Photo: Brandner-Produktion)

Uwe Brandner's first feature film *I love you - I kill you* is a film about the rules of a society that is dominated by those in power and whose freedom is only superficial.

His story is simple, telling of the fate of a teacher who becomes a poacher and thus the prey for his lover, the huntsman.

The community in which the two men live is a village living in a government reservation and enjoying certain privileges as a result.

The enemy are the wolves, dogs and anybody threatening the game population that only the government is allowed to kill.

The poacher breaks the rules by giving himself hunting rights and, in the eyes of the community, changes into a wolf that must be exterminated.

With this village Brandner shows a trivial example of a utopian and apparently almost liberated society. People living there are contented, balanced and kind to one another.

Everybody from the hunter to the mayor knows his role and without feeling that this is a restriction of his individuality.

Love here is practised freely and without taboos. Relations between two men is treated as something normal, love with a woman is an exchange of money for love.

Language is no longer a means of expressing complicated individual experience. Instead it has been reduced to its function of necessary communication.

This society, that seems to be almost "liberated" has intensified the apparatus guaranteeing order and accepts its rules as natural and no longer changeable.

Drugs are readily available at chemists and are taken to nip even the tiniest

■ THE ECONOMY

High wage claims mean inflation or unemployment

Karl Schiller

A remarkable record was recently set up in North Rhine-Westphalia. Basic pay for workers in industry rose to about seven Marks per hour. What is more amazing is the rate of increase concealed in this figure.

Within a year wages have risen by more than thirteen per cent. This is the greatest boom that there has been in Federal Republic industry. Percentages that run into two figures should not become the general rule, however.

According to the committee of economic experts, which publishes an annual appraisal of the economic situation, workers in industry had caught up on the rest of the working population by the end of 1969 if their wages were lagging behind prior to this.

This excessively hasty judgment has been overtaken by what has happened in reality. Unions certainly did not eat humble pie in 1970.

Wage and salary earners who were given short measure during the upward sweep of the economy towards the boom sent in yet another bill. Now, however, not even the most generous observer would say there was ground still to be made up.

High wage claims in the present situation will drive the economy, either towards inflation or unemployment.

If demand continues at a high level in all branches of the economy and prices continue to rise in other countries as well as here it would not be difficult for Federal Republic firms to pass off higher wages bills in higher prices. This is the inflationary alternative.

However, if the high level of economic activity subsides, and there are clear indications that it will, there will be less room for bumping up prices because of more intense international competition.

In these circumstances extravagant wage demands will lead to cuts in profits. This in turn will make companies less inclined to increase their production capacity and take on new contracts. If investments are cut in circumstances such as these, it will quickly lead to cuts in

manpower, that is to say unemployment. Inflation and unemployment are both no use to employees. This is a fact that every trades union leader should bear in mind, even if he is blinded by last year's successes and would like to continue in the same vein, using wages policies as a lever for a redistribution of incomes.

This attitude will not achieve what union leaders are hoping for. In 1970 wages and salaries were about 67 per cent of the total national income, which was an all-time high. But this is a pandemonium and it will swing in the other direction.

An economic setup that depends upon profitability of companies is not so designed that it could become unhinged by wages policies. Either the trades unions will come to their senses in good time or they will be forced to do so by rising unemployment.

For one thing is virtually certain: either the diversion of a long period of inflation with a resultant collapse or as a direct outcome the labour market will react to an explosive increase in prices.

As the demand for workers declines the chances that those still employed will be able to boost their pay packets will become slimmer. That the Bundesbank will join in this game, despite the fact that their President is named Klassen, can hardly be doubted.

His statements after the last meeting of the Central Bank Committee have made it clear that the credit squeeze will not be relaxed any further because wage claims are too high.

The Bundesbank seems to be prepared to run the risk of lapsing into recession as long as excessive demands are not made on the gross national product.

It is regrettable that we have had to come to this pretty pass. As our experiences of 1966-67 have shown, a confrontation with the Bundesbank — which arose in those days because the then government's budgeting was not watertight — can be a risky business.

Today's economic tension would be less severe if the economic policies affecting industry had been able to bring the boom under control. Despite sending in all the weapons that are praised as being *demerit* the effect was no better than in 1964-65.

Professor Giersch, formerly a member

of the committee of experts, spoke therefore of removing some of the mystique from industrial and economic policies and he asked whether this would not in fact increase its effect on economic growth rather than limiting it.

Would the economic upswing in 1968, '69 and '70 not have been less steep and persistent if Karl Schiller and Franz Josef Strauss had not given the economy so many pep-pills during the 1967 slump?

On the other hand there is the question whether the slump would not have lasted much longer if measures had not been taken to pull us out of it. The answer must be yes. If the correct measures are taken in good time these undoubtedly exercise a stabilising influence on the economy.

But we know now that no miracles can be expected from this quarter. This is not the fault of politicians who leap into action usually when it is too late. It is partly the fault of the insufficient equipment on hand which is not effective enough.

For instance revelation of the Mark was not so effective as had been expected when the step was taken in the autumn of 1969. It did not affect the state of the economy and bring about price stability in the way that was hoped.

The same should be said of the government's budgeting policy, which was, as we can now see, better than it was cracked up to be. In 1970 government spending went up by only seven per cent, while the gross national product increased by a good twelve per cent.

Despite the surcharges to income tax and corporation tax and the credit squeeze imposed by the Bundesbank, the effects of which have been to a large extent counteracted by the flow of foreign exchange to this country, the boom may well have been insufficiently braked.

If this is the case it should be taken as proof that incomes policies are not, as some people have been known to claim, a minor battle separate from the main theatre of war. Controlling these spheres is particularly difficult. A middle road must be found between complete freedom to fix wages and salaries and chert what-over prices are wanted on the one hand, and a prices and incomes freeze on the other hand.

Concerted action has not come up with any ideas that could be put into practice. The government with its moribund appeals with regard to wage demands and the fixing of price levels is starting where Ludwig Erhard left off and talking — until it gets tired of talking.

Similarly with a detailed problem of modern management, the analysis of costs and returns. State Secretary Hartkopf of the Ministry of the Interior called on the civil servants at Bülberhöhe to have a keener eye to costs. This is a pleasing sound in the ear of taxpayers.

But how is this keen eye to be directed to costs? Is a social welfare worker being more efficient by visiting several of his charges floatingly in a day, or by spending the whole working day with one serious case of hardship?

Another subject besides efficiency that cropped up at these discussions was how individual achievements on the part of civil servants could be fairly rewarded when a rigid scheme of salaries applied. Would it not be better for the various branches of the civil service to have their own special arrangements for remunerating their workers? The powers that be in the upper echelons of the civil service turn a deaf ear to such suggestions.

One or two groups of civil servants have already chalked up partial successes on the way to achieving special pay rates for

One striking fact, however, is how far the government is aiming to win the approval of industry. The government's admission that it wants to strengthen the state of the market shows that it is concerned that it could lose the confidence of industrialists, if this has not already occurred.

Professor Schiller himself once said that economic affairs policies are fifty per cent psychology.

(Hannoversche Allgemeine, 22 January 1971)

Civil service needs management overhaul

Whenever the problems of civil service officials arise sins of omission come to the fore. This is particularly true of the shift of emphasis from the authoritarian civil service structure to a more administrative one to cope with the dangers of cigarette smoking.

Problems arising from this shift of emphasis have still not been answered in the recent Royal College of Physicians' 180-page report "Smoking and Health" the civil service, its statute and its salaries due to the various grades of civil servants in accordance with the new duty of cigarette manufacturers to print warnings on their packets about the possible dangers to health.

The anti-nicotine brigade in Britain and America has given a new impulse to those people in this country who want to silence the advertisers' trumpet-blowing and subject the cigarette industry to stringent State control.

A draft plan has been drawn up by the Health Ministry in Bonn suggesting that the government should decide the way in which tobacco manufacturers may or may not promote their products and if possible whether to ban advertising altogether.

Predictably those affected by limiting advertising have not taken kindly to Käte Strobel's suggestions. The Central Committee of Federal Republic Advertisers spoke quite simply of an unconstitutional "censorship" of advertising.

Anyone who has taken the difficult step of trying to "give it up" will know that it makes little difference whether "they" are advertised or not.

This is borne out by observations made by advertising experts in Britain and Italy where the tobacco industries have for a number of years been unable to use the most attractive media for advertising — radio and TV.

In Britain tobacco industry turnover has risen annually by about 2.7 per cent and in Italy by as much as 3.5 per cent. The fact that the Italian ban came less from the expediency of health than as a protectionist measure should serve as a warning to us.

It takes between four and eight million Marks to launch a new brand of cigarettes. If the industry is not allowed to advertise there is a danger that the present state of the market will become fossilised and the competitiveness of newcomers will be diminished.

Nor should we overlook the attempts of American tobacco giants to gain access by the back door to the smoker's living room, following the ban on television advertising. Sport meetings,

■ MARKETING

Cigarettes - to advertise or not to advertise

Since the beginning of this year cigarette advertising on television has been banned in America. And in Britain there has recently been a stir about the dangers of cigarette smoking.

Since 1965 there have been no cigarette advertisements on British television and in the recent Royal College of Physicians' 180-page report "Smoking and Health" a British doctor called for all-round bans on cigarette advertising and legislation to compel cigarette manufacturers to print warnings on their packets about the possible dangers to health.

The anti-nicotine brigade in Britain and America has given a new impulse to those people in this country who want to silence the advertisers' trumpet-blowing and subject the cigarette industry to stringent State control.

A draft plan has been drawn up by the Health Ministry in Bonn suggesting that the government should decide the way in which tobacco manufacturers may or may not promote their products and if possible whether to ban advertising altogether.

Predictably those affected by limiting advertising have not taken kindly to Käte Strobel's suggestions. The Central Committee of Federal Republic Advertisers spoke quite simply of an unconstitutional "censorship" of advertising.

Anyone who has taken the difficult step of trying to "give it up" will know that it makes little difference whether "they" are advertised or not.

This is borne out by observations made by advertising experts in Britain and Italy where the tobacco industries have for a number of years been unable to use the most attractive media for advertising — radio and TV.

In Britain tobacco industry turnover has risen annually by about 2.7 per cent and in Italy by as much as 3.5 per cent. The fact that the Italian ban came less from the expediency of health than as a protectionist measure should serve as a warning to us.

It takes between four and eight million Marks to launch a new brand of cigarettes. If the industry is not allowed to advertise there is a danger that the present state of the market will become fossilised and the competitiveness of newcomers will be diminished.

Nor should we overlook the attempts of American tobacco giants to gain access by the back door to the smoker's living room, following the ban on television advertising. Sport meetings,



Danier erl at the furniture fair at Cologne

(Photo: Keystone)

All's well in this country's furniture industry

The second Federal Republic furniture fair was a bigger success than the first. Members of the furniture manufacturing industry were "extraordinarily pleased" with the results achieved. The export trade above all exceeded all expectations.

Although the fair was from the point of view of the exhibitors a purely national affair, one in seven of the 60,000 visitors came from abroad.

The proportion of visitors from abroad was thirty per cent up on the last furniture fair in 1969. The total number of visitors was twenty-five per cent up on the previous fair.

Chairman of the furniture industry association, Dr. Manfred Thonne, in the closing speech to the press emphasised that the prices forecast before the fair began had been proved right by the train of business.

On average price increases were between five and eight per cent. Older designs were generally speaking not affected and in cases where more efficient production methods had been introduced older designs were actually marketed cheaper.

As far as design is concerned soft lines and gentle curves are in vogue. Dr. Thonne says that this is to provide a welcome contrast from the austere rectangular furniture of the working world.

Pop designs were quite prevalent in furniture designed for children's and teenagers' rooms. Materials such as perspex, chromium, and aluminium are in greater use in children's rooms than before. But the dominant material is still wood.

According to spokesmen for the industry wood makes up 55 per cent of furniture now on the market. Metal is second, a long way behind with seventeen per cent and then plastics with sixteen per cent. The remainder is covering materials and fabrics, which make up twelve per cent.

The industry is viewing the future with great optimism. Between 1960 and 1970 production in the furniture industry went up one hundred per cent. In the same period the average increase in production throughout industry was only eighty per cent.

This trend is expected to continue in the seventies. Productivity per capita of the workers in the industry is high and there is no question of low-productivity small firms. The move towards greater concentration of the industry into major companies is viewed by the industry as a whole calmly.

(Handelsblatt, 26 January 1971)

Mood of impatience at annual economic report

Economic Affairs Minister Karl Schiller has shown that he has courage. With his annual economic report, published this year on 21 January, he stared the unions and industry in the face and told them the way things would be!

For one thing there would be no premature relaxing of the measures imposed by the State to quell the boom by removing tax reliefs on investments. Nor would the surcharges made to income tax and corporation tax be repaid while the two sides of industry continued to ignore the health of the industrial sector of the economy in their prices and wages policy calculations.

According to Professor Schiller some proposed price increases and a number of wage claims had been implemented although they did not fit in with the state of the market.

Until undue price increases and wage rises were stamped out the Bundesbank would not lower Bank Rate further and the required minimum reserves of commercial banks would not be cut.

In other words, the government and the bank of issue do not yet feel that the

time has come to give industry any encouragement to steer an expansive course, again.

This clear indication that something is amiss will not please the trades unions and will probably put a few backs up in the SPD rank and file. Unions do not expect to be lectured to by the government in their wages policies and many more efficient financing of domestic reforms and other government expenditure.

It is not clear how long Karl Schiller and the government in general will be able to steer their stabilisation course in the face of these pressures.

It is doubtful whether industrialists and trades unions will listen to Schiller's appeal. The most recent session of the concerted action committee gave little cause for hope on this score.

In general the annual economic report produced few sensations this time. The economic data on which the government has been working have been common knowledge for some weeks.

The line to be taken in economic policies this year was discussed at the meeting of the economic advisory committee for public works.

One striking fact, however, is how far the government is aiming to win the approval of industry. The government's admission that it wants to strengthen the state of the market shows that it is concerned that it could lose the confidence of industrialists, if this has not already occurred.

Professor Schiller himself once said that economic affairs policies are fifty per cent psychology.

(Hannoversche Allgemeine, 22 January 1971)

Continued on page 11

ORDER FORM

I / We hereby subscribe to THE GERMAN TRIBUNE at the following rates (postage included):

	Deutsche Mark	U.S. Dollars	Pounds Sterling
Six months	12.50	3.50	1.85
Twelve months	25.00	7.00	3.70

(Underline whatever applicable)

The amount may also be paid in your country's currency

Members / Mr / Mrs / Miss

Name:

Profession:

Street:

City:

Country:

Please return the completed order form to:

THE GERMAN TRIBUNE - FRIEDRICH REINECKE VERLAG GMBH

22 Schöne Aussicht, 2 Hamburg 22 - Federal Republic of Germany

■ MOTORING

Polarised headlights present serious problems

With traffic as dense as it is now, days motorists have to drive with dipped headlights virtually all the time in the evening so as not to blind oncoming vehicles.

Unfortunately even dipped headlights so restrict vision that there is often no alternative to slowing down, yet motorists frequently fail to do so, which can lead to serious accidents.

Dipped headlights as at present in use are thus far from ideal and badly in need of improvement. Iodine headlights are

not the answer either. They generate more light but their range must be restricted because of the added risk of blinding oncoming traffic.

Polarised light, on the other hand, is a solution that would be ideal and attempts to make it suitable for road traffic are nothing new.

So far, however, it has not been an economic proposition, it was stated at the Ostram traffic forum in Eichstätt. It calls for too powerful a current and conventional bulbs cannot cope.

The introduction of three-phase dynamos, far more powerful than the previous variety, and iodine lamps using roughly twice the normal current has nonetheless brought us one step nearer polarising vehicle lighting.

Polarised headlights would be the ideal solution because they eliminate all danger of blinding. Polarised light rays stay at one level only.

A second polariser lets light through unimpeded when the polarisation levels of both are parallel and cuts it out completely when they are at right angles to one another.

What happens in practice is this: Special foil on the headlight polarises the light. The driver wears special bifocal spectacles the top half of which consists of the same foil.


The result is that the light from the driver's own headlights is let through and he has a well-lit road ahead of him. The light from the oncoming vehicle, which is also polarised, is not let through, though. The risk of being blinded is thus not only nil, it is an optical impossibility.

Bifocal lenses have the advantage that the driver only needs to use the polarised section for oncoming traffic. When the road is clear he can look through the lower half and make full use of the light of his own powerful headlights.

The introduction of polarised light would involve a number of difficulties since there would have to be a change-over period of several years. All motorists would need to wear polarised spectacles regardless whether or not their own headlights were polarised — those of oncoming vehicles might be and would then blind unaided drivers badly.

This drawback could, of course, also be offset by using, during the change-over period, polarised full headlights and conventional, or better still, polarised dipped beams for use with oncoming traffic.

Polarised headlights would also make it necessary to replace conventional pre-



The 180-mile an hour Ford GT 70 at Brussels

(Photo: E.)

stressed windscreens, which tend to depolarise light, by neutral glass.

A major drawback of polarised light is that a fair amount of light is lost through polarisation. Only about 25 per cent is actually put to use. In order to shine enough light on the road ahead 120-watt bulbs must be used and more powerful generators incorporated in motor vehicles.

What is more, all indicators would have to be three times as powerful as at present, which would generate so much heat that rear lights and so on would need to be completely redesigned.

Polarised light, then, would be ideal in effect but in practice its introduction would create considerable difficulties, particularly during the transition period. It remains doubtful whether it will be introduced in the foreseeable future.

Heinrich Kömmel

(Frankfurter Rundschau, 23 January 1971)

Every third car in Europe is a Volkswagen

One new car in three in Europe and nearly one in six in the world rolled off the assembly lines of one of the 61 works in this country last year.

The 1970 production figures were slightly up on the previous year at 4.25 million vehicles, production for the home market being a quarter of a million up at 3.84 million.

The increase in the number of cars manufactured by Federal Republic subsidiaries overseas was nothing spectacular, rising from 576,000 in 1969 to slightly over 600,000 last year.

Domestic motor manufacturers' turnover in 1970 was sixteen per cent up on 1969. Sales of motor vehicles of all kinds amounted to nearly 42,000 million Marks.

(Lübecker Nachrichten, 4 February 1971)



The new BMW 2000 Tii Touring at the Brussels Motor Show

(Photo: BMW)

BMW and Ford premieres at Brussels motor show

The fiftieth Brussels motor show is up to its promise of being one of the most magnificent in the show's history. Several world premieres played no part in further emphasising the importance of the Brussels show.

The Ford GT 70, the BMW 2000 Touring and a number of Italian design specials proved to be most attractive exhibits. The DAF 55 Marathon proved to be an eye-catcher.

The Ford GT 70, to judge by prototype at least, must be a rocket wheels. The most powerful version in range will reach a top speed of 290 kph (180 miles an hour).

A thoroughbred racing two-seater with a centre-mounted engine, it is a descendant of the GT range that won the Le Mans four years in succession. The drivers and designers from several countries joined forces in work on the GT.

It has a two-door plastic body mounted on a safety cage of steel struts. All wheels are independently suspended. It has disc brakes and four engines available, not to mention four- or six-speed differential gearboxes.

The 2.6-litre V6 engine develops 160 horse power, the three-litre V6 135, the 1.6-litre engine with four valves cylinder 120 horse power and the standard version of the 1,600 cc 86 hp.

For the time being a short run is still built for competition purposes. It remains to be seen whether the GT 70 will go into full-scale series production.

The new two-litre fuel injection engine from BMW, developing 130 horse power, is the most powerful and up-to-date sporting four-cylinder engine BMW has ever manufactured for more than racing purposes. It has a compression ratio of 10:1, boasts a maximum torque of 184 mkg at 4,500 rpm, accelerates to 100 kph from a standing start in 9.2 seconds and reaches a top speed of 120 miles an hour.

Its streamlined design with the cutaway back is described by the manufacturers as a synthesis of saloon, coupe and tourer. The rear seats can be folded forward to increase luggage space. It has the same instrumentation as the six-cylinder models.

The BMW 2000 Tii Touring will be launched on the domestic market on the occasion of the opening of the new offices in Hamburg this April.

In the past the DAF 55 has only been convertible to the new Marathon version by means of tuning kits. It is now going into series manufacture as the faster DAF in production. Broad flashes and a restyled rear end distinguish it from the DAF 55 de luxe.

(Hendelsballe, 21 January 1971)

Guess who's got more 747s than any other airline?



Right the first time, Pan Am.

Pan Am 747s are now flying across the Atlantic to the U.S.A. From the U.S.A. to the Caribbean. And to the Middle Pacific, the South Pacific and the Orient.

Wherever you go on our 747, it won't add a penny to your fare.

All you have to do is call a Pan Am® Travel Agent. Or call Pan Am. And say you want the plane with two wide aisles and wide

screen movies.* And the separate sections for smokers and nonsmokers. And the extra blue-and-gold stewardesses. And the In-Flight Service Director who's in charge of everything.

In other words, just ask for the plane that has it all.

*Available at nominal cost.

World's most experienced airline.